



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/480,986	01/10/2000	MICHAEL BOLOTSKI	18035-001010	5021
20350	7590 06/04/2002			
TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND AND CREW, LLP TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER EIGHTH FLOOR			EXAMINER	
			PIZIALI, JEFFREY J	
SAN FRANC	ISCO, CA 94111-3834		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2673	
			DATE MAILED: 06/04/2002	!

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

GU

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/480,986	BOLOTSKI ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Jeff Piziali	2673			
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover shee	t with the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicatic - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory is - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the eamed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, ma on. , a reply within the statutory minimum o period will apply and will expire SIX (6) statute, cause the application to becom	ay a reply be timely filed If thirty (30) days will be considered timely. MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. The ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed or	12 March 2002 .				
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□	This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for a					
closed in accordance with the practice u Disposition of Claims	nder <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935	5 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wit	thdrawn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	and/or election requirement	•			
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Exa					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 12 March 2002 is/a		•			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	oreian priority under 35 H.S	C. 8.119(a)-(d) or (f)			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	oreign priority under 00 0.0	.0. 3 113(4) (4) 01 (1).			
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the Internation * See the attached detailed Office action for					
14)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for do	mestic priority under 35 U.S	S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).			
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign languages 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for do	• •				
Attachment(s)	· ·	-			
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-943) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No. 	48) 5) Notic	view Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) se of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) r:			

Art Unit: 2673

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

- 1. This application has been filed with informal drawings which are acceptable for examination purposes only. Formal drawings will be required if the application is allowed.
- 2. The corrected or substitute drawings were received on March 12, 2002. These drawings are acceptable.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 5. Claim 5 recites the limitation "the illumination source" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Art Unit: 2673

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) do not apply to the examination of this application as the application being examined was not (1) filed on or after November 29, 2000, or (2) voluntarily published under 35 U.S.C. 122(b). Therefore, this application is examined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

7. Claims 1-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by McKnight (US 6,144,353).

Regarding claim 1, McKnight discloses a method for operating a display having a plurality of pixels, comprising: applying a transition voltage [Fig. 2C, 151] to the pixels [Fig. 3A, 208], each pixel including liquid crystal material having at least a first state [i.e. "dark"] and a second state [i.e. "bright"], wherein a transition of the liquid crystal material from the first state to the second state has an associated first transition time [Fig. 2C, t₁-t₂], wherein a transition of the liquid crystal material from the second state to the first state has an associated second transition time [Fig. 2C, t₂-t₃], wherein the first transition time is longer than the second transition time, and wherein the transition voltage induces liquid crystal material in each pixel to begin transitioning to the second state (see Column 10, Lines 1-50); applying a first paint voltage to a pixel [Fig. 3A, 206], wherein the first paint voltage induces liquid crystal material in the pixel to a third state [i.e. first data defined brightness level]; waiting a predetermined time period; illuminating the pixel [Fig. 3A, 210]; applying the transition voltage to the pixels [Fig. 3A, 212]; applying a second paint voltage to the pixel [Fig. 3A, 214], wherein the second paint

voltage induces the liquid crystal material in the pixel to a fourth state [i.e. second data defined brightness level]; waiting the predetermined time period; and illuminating the pixel [Figs. 3A-B, 216 & 218]; wherein the transition voltage is between the first paint voltage and the second paint voltage (see Column 11, Line 26 - Column 12, Line 47).

Regarding claims 2, 10 and 18, McKnight discloses illuminating the pixel with an illumination source [Fig. 2A, 114] of first and second colors (see Column 9, Lines 24-28).

Regarding claims 3 and 11, McKnight discloses applying the transition voltage to the pixels [Fig. 3B, 224] wherein the transition voltage induces liquid crystal material in each pixel to begin transitioning to the second state (see Fig. 2C, 154); applying a third paint voltage to the pixel [Fig. 3B, 222], wherein the third paint voltage induces the liquid crystal material in the pixel to a fifth state [i.e. third data defined brightness level]; waiting the predetermined time period; and illuminating the pixel [Fig. 3B, 226]; wherein comprising an illumination source [Fig. 2A, 114] of first, second and third colors (see Column 9, Lines 24-28).

Regarding claims 4, 12 and 19, McKnight discloses red, green and blue colors (see Column 9, Lines 24-28).

Regarding claim 5, McKnight discloses illuminating the pixel with an illumination source [Fig. 2A, 114] (see Column 9, Lines 16-43).

Art Unit: 2673

Regarding claims 6, 14 and 20, McKnight discloses applying the transition voltage to all the pixels at one time while holding a common electrode [Fig. 2A, 108] at a constant value [Fig. 2C, 151 between t₁ and t₂] (see Column 10, Lines 1-50).

Regarding claims 7 and 15, McKnight discloses applying the transition voltage to a first row of pixels while holding a common electrode [Fig. 2A, 108] at a constant value [Fig. 2C, 151] between t₁ and t₂] (see Column 10, Lines 1-50), and thereafter applying the transition voltage to a second row of pixels while holding a common electrode at a constant value [Fig. 2C, 151] between t₅ and t₆] (see Column 11, Line 33 - Column 12, Line 12).

Regarding claims 8 and 16, McKnight discloses applying the transition voltage to a first column of pixels while holding a common electrode [Fig. 2A, 108] at a constant value [Fig. 2C, 151 between t₁ and t₂] (see Column 10, Lines 1-50), and thereafter applying the transition voltage to a second column of pixels while holding a common electrode at a constant value [Fig. 2C, 151 between t₅ and t₆] (see Column 11, Line 33 - Column 12, Line 12).

Regarding claim 9, this claim is rejected under the reasoning applied in the above rejection of claim 1, furthermore, McKnight discloses a transaction circuit [Fig. 2A, 110] coupled to each pixel; a paint circuit [Fig. 2A, 102] coupled to the transaction circuit; a timer circuit [Fig. 2A, 112] coupled to the paint circuit; and an illumination circuit coupled to the timer circuit [Fig. 2A, 114 & 116] (see Column 9, Lines 16-43).

Page 6

Art Unit: 2673

Regarding claim 13, McKnight discloses the illumination circuit comprises a monochromatic illumination source (see Column 9, Lines 24-25).

Regarding claim 17, this claim is rejected under the reasoning applied in the above rejection of claim 1, furthermore, McKnight discloses an initialization circuit [Fig. 2A, 110] coupled to the pixels; a driving circuit [Fig. 2A, 102] coupled to the initialization circuit; and an illumination circuit [Fig. 2A, 114 & 116] coupled to the driving circuit (see Column 9, Lines 16-43).

Regarding claim 21, McKnight discloses the initial voltage [Fig. 3A, 212] is between the first [Fig. 3A, 206] and second [Fig. 3A, 214] drive voltages (see Column 11, Line 33 - Column 12, Line 12).

Response to Arguments

8. Applicants' arguments filed March 12, 2002 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The applicants contend the prior art of McKnight fails to disclose that the transition voltage induces liquid crystal material in each pixel to begin transitioning to the second state. The examiner must, however, respectfully disagree. McKnight teaches a transition voltage (i.e. control signal 151 in Fig. 2C) that induces each pixel to begin transitioning to a second state [i.e. "bright"] from a first state [i.e. "dark"] (see Column 10, Lines 1-50).

The applicants also argue that McKnight fails to disclose holding a common electrode at a constant value at one time. Again, the examiner must respectfully disagree. McKnight teaches applying the transition voltage [Fig. 2C, 151] to all the pixels at one time while holding a

Art Unit: 2673

common electrode [Fig. 2A, 108] at a constant value at one time [Fig. 2C, 151 between t₁ and t₂] (see Column 10, Lines 1-50). By such above reasoning, the rejection of claims 1-21 is deemed proper and thereby maintained.

Conclusion

9. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Page 7

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeff Piziali whose telephone number is (703) 305-8382. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday (6:30AM - 3PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bipin Shalwala can be reached on (703) 305-4938. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9314 for regular communications and (703) 872-9314 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4700.

J.P.

June 3, 2002

BIPIN SHALWALA MINER

TECHNOLOGI CE